

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 27 2007

Attorney Docket No. 03699/LH

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT
AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicants : Minoru KUMAGAI et al
Serial No. : 10/716,885
Filed : November 18, 2003
For : DISPLAY APPARATUS, AND DISPLAY
APPARATUS MANUFACTURING METHOD
AND APPARATUS
Art Unit : 1762
Examiner : LIN, James
Customer No.: 01933
Confirm. No.: 8690

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE
TRANSMISSION
TO NO. 1-571-273-8300

TOTAL PAGES: 2
I hereby certify that this
paper is being facsimile
transmitted to the
Commissioner for Patents,
on the date noted below.

Diane J. Hegstrom
Diane J. Hegstrom

Dated: March 27, 2007

In the event that this Paper is late filed, and
the necessary petition for extension of time
is not filed concurrently herewith, please
consider this as a Petition for the requisite
extension of time, and to the extent not
tendered by credit card payment,
authorization to charge the extension fee,
or any other fee required in connection
with this Paper to Account No. 06-1378.

RESPONSE- 37 C.F.R. 1.111

MAIL STOP AMENDMENT
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

S I R :

This is responsive to the Office Action mailed February 27,
2007, the term for response to which expires on March 27, 2007.

FIRST ELECTION:

Applicants elect Invention II, claims 9-19, without
traverse.

SECOND ELECTION:

Since Invention II was elected, Applicants elect Species I,
Figs. 5A-6C, with traverse. The claims readable on Invention II
and Species I are claims 9-12, 14 and 16-19.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 27 2007

TRAVERSE OF SPECIES ELECTION REQUIREMENT:

Applicants traverse the Examiner's requirement to elect Species I or Species II (item 7 on page 3 of the Office Action) on the grounds that it has not been established that it would be an undue burden to examine each of the noted Species and their respective claims together.

Under M.P.E.P. § 803, a Restriction is not proper if a search and examination can be made without a serious burden on the Examiner, and the outstanding Restriction Requirement with respect to Species I and Species II has not established that examining all of the currently-pending elected claims 9-19 (directed to elected Invention II) together would result in an undue burden on the Examiner. Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that all of claims 9-19 (which include Species I and II) should be examined together on the merits.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully requested that the requirement to elect Species I or II be withdrawn. It is further respectfully submitted that this submission is fully responsive to the outstanding Office Action.

* * * * *

If the Examiner has any comments, questions, objections or recommendations, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the telephone number given below for prompt action.

Respectfully submitted,

March 27, 2007

Leonard Holtz, Esq.
Reg. No. 22,914

FRISHAUF HOLTZ GOODMAN & CHICK, P.C.
220 FIFTH AVENUE
NEW YORK, N.Y. 10001-7708
Tel. No. (212) 319-4900
Fax No. (212) 319-5101
LH:djh